**Reliability and Concurrent** Validity of Inter-Rectus **Distance and Linea Alba** Stiffness in Women With and Without Diastasis Recti Abdominis



N. Green<sup>1</sup>, E. Nieuwold<sup>1</sup>, N. Hills<sup>2</sup>, L. McLean<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup> School of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Ottawa <sup>2</sup>School of Rehabilitation Therapy, Queen's University



Contact Name: Linda Mclean Contact Email: Linda.McLean@uottawa.ca



# Acknowledgements and Disclosures

- Infrastructure was made available through funds provided by the NSERC RTI program
- Operating funds were received from the University of Ottawa Research Development program
- The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.



# Background

- Diastasis recti abdominis?
  - Traditionally measured using Inter-rectus distance (IRD)
- Hypothesis put forward by Lee & Hodges (2016)
  - The ability of the linea alba to transmit forces may have a greater impact on trunk function than the magnitude of IRD



#### Shear Wave Elastography (SWE) is an emerging technology that can be

used to quantify tissue mechanical properties



# Objectives

- To examine between and within-rater reliability of linea alba stiffness measured using shear wave elastography (SWE)
- Investigate the relationship between IRD and linea alba stiffness measured under 3 conditions: (a) Rest, (b) Head lift, and (c) Semi-Curl-up



# Methods

• Recruitment through local recreational facilities and physiotherapy clinics. Written informed consent obtained prior to participation.

Participants	Imaging Procedure	Analysis
<ul> <li>Nulliparae</li> <li>Parous women who delivered their most recent baby (vaginally or c- section) at least 1 year prior to participation</li> </ul>	3 B-mode and 3 SWE ultrasound images taken in supine at each of three sites at rest, on head lift, curl-up 5cm above the SBU 3cm above the SBU	Measurements of IRD and stiffness taken offline by 2 MSc physiotherapy students blinded to women's DRA status and to each other's results



≥180 kPa

144

108

72

36

### Inter-rectus distance Linea alba stiffness



LA = Linea Alba**RA = Rectus Abdominis SC** = Subcutaneous fatty layer

в



Gen/Med 72 dB/Low 40 m/s/SC/SR 3 G 44 %/Fr. 10 Hz

S

		2
×		
- Caller	Contraction of the second s	
	1 20	
Dist	1.39 cm	
Q-Box™	' Trace	
Mean	144.9 kPa	
Min	1.3 kPa	
Max	215.0 kPa	
SD	37.8 kPa	
Mean	6.9 m/s	
Min	0.7 m/s	
Max	8.5 m/s	
SD	1.1m/s	
Peri	3.04 cm	
Area	0.27 cm <sup>2</sup>	
WE™f [7	'0 - 800] Hz	
Pen/SWE	E Bias	
M 1/Med/	Compression	
S 5/O 50	%/G 82 %	



4.0

Characteristics	Mean	St Dev	
Age (years)	31.8	8.2	
IRD (cm)	2.43	1.52	
BMI (kg/m2)	25.04 3.87		
Waist:Hip ratio (units)	0.81	0.06	
Minutes of moderate to high intensity exercise/week)	143	114	
	Number of Children	Number of Participants	
Parity (units)	n=0	11	
	n=1-3	9	



Measures (units)	Site and Condition	Mean	St Dev				
Mean	SBU						
Stiffness	Rest	30.49	18.42				
(kPa)	HL	33.57	24.59				
	CU	36.14	29.95				
	3cm SBU						
	Rest	27.00	14.76				
	HL	36.28	27.50				
	CU	46.87	37.52				
	5cm SBU						
	Rest	35.21	17.91				
	HL	40.97	30.20				
	CU	50.45	37.00				

Measures (units)	Site and Condition	Mean	St Dev				
Peak	SBU						
Stiffness	Rest	51.34	46.43				
(kPa)	HL	55.54	51.43				
	CU	64.86	55.91				
	3cm SBU						
	Rest	41.94	29.88				
	HL	62.21	54.29				
	CU	79.64	62.16				
	5cm SBU						
	Rest	52.59	30.66				
	HL	65.76	58.79				
	CU	85.14	61.45				



#### Currier's Criteria: $\leq$ 0.69 = poor 0.70-0.79 = fair 0.80-0.89 = good $\geq 0.90 = excellent$

MCID

	Measure	Task	ICCs (95% CI) (mean values)	SEM	MCID		Measure	Task	ICCs (95% CI) (mean values)	SEM	MCII
	IRD (cm)	Rest	0.983 (0.975-0.989)	0.542	1.502		IRD (cm)	Rest	0.955 (0.929, 0.972)	0.738	2.046
		Head lift	0.947 (0.917, 0.967)	0.746	2.069			Head lift	0.947 (0.917, 0.967)	0.746	2.069
		Curl up	0.944 (0.910, 0.964)	0.628	1.742			Curl up	0.944 (0.910, 0.964)	0.628	1.742
	Mean stiffness (kPa)	Rest	0.885 (0.836-0.923)	17.166	47.583		Mean Stiffness (kPa)	Rest	0.981 (0.970, 0.988)	5.664	15.700
		Head lift	0.868 (0.812-0.911)	28.748	79.685			Head lift	0.991 (0.986, 0.995)	6.118	16.961
		Curl up	0.911 (0.871-0.941)	30.22	83.768			Curl up	0.994 (0.991, 0.996)	6.555	18.169

Within-Rater Between-Trial Reliability using single measures recorded at all measurement sites

Results – reliabilty

Between-Rater Reliability using the average of the three measures taken at all measurment sites









r = -0.41; slope = -4.97kPa/cm; intercept = 43.7kPa; p < 0.001



r = -0.498; slope = -12.50kPa/cm; intercept = 76.800; p<0.001



## Discussion

<u>Objectives:</u>

I. Both IRD and LA stiffness had acceptable reliability, which was highest at the sites above the umbilicus

II. Significant linear elationships exist between IRD and LA stiffness

○ As IRD ↑, LA stiffness ↓







# Discussion

- The relationship between LA stiffness and IRD were consistent with our expectations, but much of the model variance was unexplained. The models explain only approximately 14% of the variance in the data
- Next steps
  - investigating these relationships using non-linear models and in a larger sample of women
  - comparing parous women to nulliparous women
  - $_{\circ}$   $\,$  comparing women with and without DRA  $\,$
- Goal to more fully understand the impact of both parity and DRA on the mechanical properties of the linea alba and on trunk function



# Conclusion

- Linea alba stiffness measured using shear wave elastography demonstrates adequate reliability to be used in research and in clinical practice
- Large IRDs appear to be associated with lower linea alba stiffness in women, and this warrants further investigation in order for us to more fully understand the impact of pregnancy on trunk mobility and function in women





Thank you!

